

Motion For Certification Of Direct Appeal

<p>UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY Caption in Compliance with D.N.J. LBR 9004-1(b)</p>	<p>U.S. BANKRUPTCY COURT DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY 2017 OCT 13 P 3:28 JANE A. HAMILTON DREVS</p>
<p>Neelay Das 4682 Chabot Dr #10236 Pleasanton, CA 94588-2747 Email: neelay.bbbby.njb@gmail.com</p>	<p>Gabriel Rostom 63 Rue de la Folie Regnault 75011 PARIS – France Tel : +33756942880</p>
<p><i>Stockholder</i></p>	<p><i>Stockholder</i></p>
<p>In Re: BED BATH & BEYOND INC., et al., Debtors.¹</p>	<p>Chapter 11 Case No. 23-13359 (VFP) (Jointly Administered)</p>

Neelay Das
4682 Chabot Dr #10236
Pleasanton, CA 94588-2747

Stockholder

Tel : +33756942880

Stockholder

In Re:

Chapter 11

Case No. 23-13359 (VFP)

(Jointly Administered)

**MOTION OF COMMON STOCK EQUITY INTEREST HOLDERS FOR CERTIFICATION OF
DIRECT APPEAL TO THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE THIRD CIRCUIT**

¹ The last four digits of Debtor Bed Bath & Beyond Inc.'s tax identification number are 0488. A complete list of the Debtors in these Chapter 11 Cases and each such Debtor's tax identification number may be obtained on the website of the Debtors' proposed claims and noticing agent at <https://restructuring.ra.kroll.com/bbby>. The location of Debtor Bed Bath & Beyond Inc.'s principal place of business and the Debtors' service address in these Chapter 11 Cases is 650 Liberty Avenue, Union, New Jersey 07083.

INTRODUCTION

1. This case concerns the nationally important bankruptcy petition of Bed Bath and Beyond filed on 04/23/2023, the majority of whose publicly traded common stock was owned by tens of thousands of retail investors as of 09/29/2023, where an order Approving the Disclosure Statement on a Final Basis and Confirming the Second Amended Joint Chapter 11 Plan has been issued that presents multiple important and unsettled questions of law, involves matters of public importance and involves a question of law requiring resolution of conflicting decisions.

2. During the corresponding Plan Confirmation Hearing and Motions Hearing held on September 12, 2023 at 2:30 pm E.T., while discussing the Stockholders' turnover argument for stock in the debtor corporation borrowed by claimants, in the *Motion To Vacate The Order (I) (A) Conditionally Approving The Adequacy Of The Disclosure Statement, (B) Approving The Solicitation And Notice Procedures With Respect To Confirmation Of The Plan, (C) Approving The Forms Of Ballots And Notices In Connection Therewith* [Docket No. 2068] (the "Motion To Vacate"), which was considered as an Objection by the Court, Judge Kaplan commented "I, frankly, couldn't find any authority for the proposition that authorized stock of a debtor corporation is property of the estate and the debtor corporation as opposed to the party holding the stock". Tr., Sep. 12, 2023, at 56:2-5.

3. Bloomberg news reported² recently that Hedge Funds Cut Stock Leverage at Fastest Pace Since 2020 Crash, as Wall Street prime brokers said that their clients ramped up short selling. This is happening amidst US corporate bankruptcies reaching the highest level since 2010, per a Reuters news report³. Abusive short selling in corporations' publicly traded stock accelerates corporate bankruptcies by destroying billions of dollars in shareholder value, crippling investor confidence and restricting the corporations' access to public capital markets needed in order to effectuate a turnaround.

4. The debtors did not elect to be treated as small business debtors in the Chapter 11 Voluntary Petition [Docket No. 1] and specifically confirmed in section I.P.s. of the Proposed Confirmation Order, *see* Docket No. 2162, p. 31, that was copied over to the Confirmation Order that they did not elect to be treated as small business debtors. Pursuant to section 1125(f)(3) of the Bankruptcy Code the

² <https://www.bloomberg.com/news/articles/2023-09-25/hedge-funds-cut-stock-leverage-at-fastest-pace-since-2020-crash>

³ <https://www.reuters.com/markets/us/us-corporate-bankruptcies-highest-level-since-2010-2023-05-09/>

Exhibit III Motion for Certification of Direct Appeal Page 4 of 11
Conditional Approval of a Disclosure Statement is available only in a small business case and yet the Debtors filed for Conditional Approval of their Disclosure Statement while requesting Shortened Notice Period and Requirements, see Docket No. 1438, which was also approved erroneously by this Court, *see* Docket No. 1716, and acceptance or rejection of the plan was solicited based on that erroneously conditionally approved Disclosure Statement before Final Approval of the Disclosure Statement, in violation of section 1125(b) of the Bankruptcy Code. This conflicts with judicial precedent. *see In re Haskell-Dawes, Inc.*, 188 BR 520 - Bankr. Court, ED Pennsylvania 1995.

5. Direct appeal to the Third Circuit is necessary to obtain the legal clarity required for there to be any hope of the Chapter 11 plan being fair and equitable with respect to classes pursuant to section 1129(b)(2) of the Bankruptcy Code. The questions presented are threshold legal issues, involve a question of law requiring resolution of conflicting decisions and resolving them promptly and authoritatively will only serve to facilitate a successful resolution of this proceeding and potentially set judicial precedent to address the publicly important matter of abusive short selling in publicly traded stock of corporations. Indeed, these considerations are exactly the ones that Congress said require bankruptcy courts to certify a direct appeal to the court of appeals when moved to do so by a party.

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

6. On April 23, 2023 (“Petition Date”), Bed Bath & Beyond Inc., and the related Debtor Affiliates (“Debtors”) filed voluntary petitions for relief under the Bankruptcy Code, where they did not elect to be treated as small business debtors. *See* Docket No. 1.

7. On April 24, 2023, the Court entered an Order Directing Joint Administration of Chapter 11 Cases. *See* Docket No. 75.

8. Pre-petition, the Debtors operated as a home furnishing retailer in the United States, Canada, Mexico, and Puerto Rico offering products from bed linens to cookware to electric appliances, home organization, baby care, and other items. *See* Docket No. 10.

9. On August 1, 2023, the Debtors filed the Amended Joint Plan. *See* Docket No. 1712.

10. On August 2, 2023, the Court entered an *Order (A) Conditionally Approving Disclosure Statement, (B) Approving the solicitation and Notice Procedures with respect to Confirmation of the Plan, (C) Approving the Forms of Ballots and Notices in connection with therewith, (D) Scheduling a Combined*

Exhibit III Motion for Certification of Direct Appeal Page 5 of 11
Disclosure Statement approval and Plan Confirmation Hearing (the "Conditional DS Order"). See Docket No. 1716.

11. On August 28, 2023, the Stockholders moved to vacate parts (A)-(C) of the Conditional DS Order pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 105(a), FED. R. CIV. P. 60(b), and FED. R. BANKR. P. 9024 because of multiple unaddressed objections. The first objection was that a mistake in the Release Opt-Out Form attachment in the *Notice Of Non-Voting Status To Holders Of Impaired Claims And Interests Deemed To Reject The Plan* that was distributed⁴ prior to the September 1, 2023 plan voting deadline, which was also the deadline to submit the Release Opt-Out Forms, was misleading the Stockholders before the imminent Release Opt-Out Forms submission deadline. The second objection was that the Disclosure Statement did not meet the requirement, for conditional approval, of providing adequate information to the claimants, pursuant to sections 1125(a)(1) and 1125(f)(3) of the Bankruptcy Code, that the claims from claimants who were holding borrowed shares of Bed Bath and Beyond Common Stock, which per the Stockholders' interpretation of section 541 of the Bankruptcy Code constitute property of the Debtors' estate, are disallowed and made them ineligible to vote on the acceptance of the Chapter 11 plan, pursuant to sections IV.I.6 of the Disclosure Statement and IX.F of the Debtors' Chapter 11 Plan and section 542 of the Bankruptcy code. The third objection was that by on one hand potentially allowing distributions to claimants with disallowed claims and on the other hand not providing for turnover of borrowed Common Stock pursuant to section 542 of the Bankruptcy code, before it was canceled, the plan was not fair and equitable with respect to the classes in accordance with section 1129(b) of the Bankruptcy code, which in turn made the plan unconfirmable because of requirements of sections 1129(a)(3) and 1129(b)(1) of the Bankruptcy Code not being met. The Stockholders also contemporaneously filed an Application For Order Shortening Time [Docket No. 2069] with the Motion to Vacate to request a hearing on that on the only available hearing date of August 29, 2023 before the Release Opt-out Forms submission deadline of September 1, 2023. That application was denied due to the impracticality of scheduling a hearing on less than 24 hours notice and a hearing on the Motion To Vacate treated as an objection was scheduled for September 12, 2023, which was the same date and time as the Hearing on the final approval of Debtors' Disclosure Statement and Confirmation of their Plan, where the Motion To Vacate was treated as an objection, See Docket No. 2084, p. 2.

⁴ An example of such a distributed notice is available here:
https://www.proxydocs.com/0/001/930/337/bbby__7184809__impaired_nonvoting_notice_12.pdf

12. On September 7, 2023 Debtors' Counsel e-mailed Mr. Das that the Stockholders shall not be included in the definition of "Releasing Parties" as that term is defined under the Plan [Docket No. 1712]. Also, from the *Limited Objection To The Amended Joint Chapter 11 Plan* [Docket No. 2123] filed by the United States Trustee ("U.S. Trustee") the Stockholders came to know that as a result of discussions between the U.S. Trustee and the Debtors, the Debtors had agreed to modify the "Releasing Parties" definition to delete as a Releasing Party "(f) all Holders of Claims or Interests who are deemed to reject the Plan and who do not affirmatively opt out of the releases provided by the Plan." See Docket No. 1712, p. 17. This resolved the first objection in the Stockholders' Motion To Vacate.

13. Debtors' Counsel filed the Proposed Confirmation Order document *Findings Of Fact, Conclusions Of Law, And Order (I) Approving The Disclosure Statement On A Final Basis And (II) Confirming The Second Amended Joint Chapter 11 Plan Of Bed Bath & Beyond Inc. And Its Debtor Affiliates* less than an hour before the September 12, 2023, 2:30 pm E.T. Plan Confirmation Hearing, where they specifically confirmed in section I.P.s. that debtors did not elect to be treated as small business debtors. *see* Docket No. 2162, p. 31.

14. During the hearing on the Motion To Vacate, along with that on the final approval of Debtors' Disclosure Statement and Confirmation of their Plan, held on September 12, 2023, from the list of the Stockholders' unaddressed objections, Debtors' counsel Mr. Fiedler only addressed Stockholders' third objection and stated "The second point is, I think they make the argument that the plan doesn't satisfy 1129(b)(2) because it's not fair and equitable. The equity interests are being cancelled under this plan, they're not entitled to any recovery in accordance with the absolute priority rule." *see* Tr., Sep. 12, 2023, at 55:14-18. The Court couldn't "find any authority for the proposition that authorized stock of a debtor corporation is property of the estate and the debtor corporation as opposed to the party holding the stock". *see* Tr., Sep. 12, 2023, at 56:2-5. Therefore, the Court didn't understand or didn't accept the section 542 turnover argument that underlied the objection and agreeing with Mr. Fiedler's reasoning denied the objection. The Court then entered an order (I) Approving the Disclosure Statement on a Final Basis and (II) Confirming the Second Amended Joint Chapter 11 Plan of Bed Bath & Beyond Inc. and Its Debtor Affiliates [Docket No. 2172] (the "Confirmation Order") on September 14, 2023 which stated that all unresolved objections, including Stockholders' second and third objections, were overruled on the merits in all respects.

15. On September 28, 2023, the Movants jointly noticed their appeal of the Confirmation Order [Docket No. 2305] and, by this motion, respectfully request that

158(d)(2)(A)-(B) (requiring a bankruptcy court to certify an appeal if any of four factors is present). Although certification is required when even one of the four enumerated statutory factors is present, here, all four factors are present—namely, the Confirmation Order involves multiple questions of law for which there is no controlling authority; the Orders involve matters of public importance, including whether a corporations' publicly traded stock borrowed by short sellers is subject to turnover pursuant to section 542 of the Bankruptcy Code, after the commencement of a bankruptcy case, so that it serves as a deterrent against abusive short selling; and an immediate appeal of the Confirmation Order will materially advance the case. In fact, a delay in resolving the issues therein will irreparably harm the movants, in case there is no order and stay in place (the Movants are contemporaneously filing an *Emergency Motion Of Common Stock Equity Interest Holders For Stay And Associated Relief Pending Appeal Pursuant To Fed. R. Bankr. P. 8013(d) And 8007* to get this in place, considering that the Debtors declared the plan Effective Date on 09/29/23 within hours of the Stockholders' Notice of Appeal getting docketed and then promptly proceeded to purportedly "cancel" all shareholder shares without recalling them, in violation of section 542 of the Bankruptcy Code and inconsistent with their own corporate by-laws procedures for transferring shares⁵) to revert and further prevent cancellation and extinguishment of the Stockholders' shares before a turnover of borrowed shares pursuant to section 542 of the Bankruptcy Code and it will hinder a prompt resolution of this proceeding, which may harm the Debtors as well.

ARGUMENT

16. Congress enacted 28 U.S.C. § 158(d)(2)(A)-(B) to streamline the process of bankruptcy appeals by enabling expedited access to the United States Circuit Court of Appeals. Section 158(d)(2)(A)-(B) provides that this Court must certify a direct appeal to the Third Circuit if it finds that any one of the following four factors is present:

- 1) the order "involves a question of law as to which there is no controlling decision of the [Third Circuit] or of the Supreme Court";
- 2) the order "involves a matter of public importance";

⁵ Section 4 of ARTICLE VI-SHARES of the Debtor Corporation's By-Laws (https://www.sec.gov/Archives/edgar/data/886158/000110465919036407/a19-10116_4ex3d1.htm): "Upon surrender to the Corporation or the transfer agent of the Corporation of a certificate for shares duly endorsed or accompanied by proper evidence of succession, assignment or authority to transfer, the Corporation shall issue or cause the transfer agent to issue a new certificate to the person entitled thereto, shall cancel the old certificate and shall record such transfer upon the books of the corporation".

3) the order “involves a question of law requiring resolution of conflicting decisions”; or

4) “an immediate appeal from the . . . order . . . may materially advance the progress of the case.”

Id. § 158(d)(2)(A); *see also In re Essar Steel Minn. LLC*, No. 19-cv-397, 2020 WL 3574743, at *3-4 (D. Del. July 1, 2020).

17. The presence of any one of these factors requires certification. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 158(d)(2)(B) (providing that if a bankruptcy court “on the request of a party[] determines that a circumstance specified in [§ 158(d)(2)(A)] exists . . . then the bankruptcy court . . . *shall* make the certification described) (emphasis added); *In re: Tribune Media Co.*, No. 15-1116, 2016 WL 1451161, at *4 (D. Del. Apr. 12, 2016) (“Certification is mandatory where a single condition is satisfied under 28 U.S.C. § 158(d)(2)(B).”); *In re Millennium Lab Holdings, II, LLC*, No. 15-12284-LSS, 2016 WL 155500, at *4 (Bankr. D. Del. Jan. 12, 2016) (explaining that Section 158(d)(2)(A) contains “four disjunctive criteria”).

18. Here, although only one factor is required, all four are present.

I. The Confirmation Order Involves Questions of Law for Which There Are No Controlling Decisions from the Third Circuit or Supreme Court.

19. This Court’s Order denying the second and third objections in the Stockholders’ Motion To Vacate involves questions for which there are no controlling decisions of the Third Circuit or the Supreme Court. “A ‘controlling decision’ of the Third Circuit for the purposes of § 158(d)(2)(A)(i) is one that admits of no ambiguity in resolving the issue.” *In re Conex Holdings, LLC*, 534 B.R. 606, 611 (D. Del. 2015). The Third Circuit has never addressed similar questions of law in corporate restructuring or bankruptcy filing, which present novel and hotly debated legal issues of national significance.

20. An appeal of the Confirmation Order will involve the following questions of law for which there is no controlling authority: (1) Whether authorized and outstanding stock of a debtor corporation is property of the estate after the commencement of a Bankruptcy case, pursuant to section 541 of the Bankruptcy Code. (2) If the answer to the first question above is yes, then the next question is whether authorized and outstanding stock of a debtor corporation considered as property of the estate is of consequential value or benefit to the estate, so that it is subject to turnover pursuant to section 542 of the Bankruptcy Code. If the answers to the above questions is in the affirmative the Second Amended Joint Chapter 11 Plan of the Debtors confirmed in the Confirmation Order fails the fair and equitable test of

11 in this Motion and the arguments presented by Debtors' Counsel Mr. Fiedler in the September 12, 2023 hearing, as quoted in paragraph 14 did not address those, since he did not present any argument to refute the fact that the Debtors' Chapter 11 plan allows distribution of proceeds to claimants whose claims are disallowed because they hold stock in the debtor corporation that is subject to section 542 turnover. The argument by Debtors' Counsel Mr. Fiedler in the September 12, 2023 hearing that this issue is addressed by canceling all common stock shares⁶ without recalling the shares is a violation of section 542 of the Bankruptcy Code and section 107(f) in old Title 11 (1964 ed.) that current Bankruptcy Code is based on, and is inconsistent with the Debtor Corporation's own by-laws procedures for transferring shares, as explained in paragraph 23 below, discussing the second question above.

1) Whether authorized and outstanding stock of a debtor corporation is property of the estate after the commencement of a Bankruptcy case, pursuant to section 541 of the Bankruptcy Code:

21. Section 1 of ARTICLE VI-SHARES of the Debtor Corporation's Amended and Restated By-Laws⁷ states that Outstanding Stock shares of the Debtor Corporation are typically issued as Certificates For Shares, which can then be deposited at the transfer agent, which in turn transfers them electronically to DTC (Depository Trust Company), specifically Cede & Co.⁸ that processes transfers of stock certificates on their behalf, via DWAC⁹, for trading via brokerages, while the Certificates are physically held at the transfer agent. These Certificates are evidence of Stockholders' rights, which are specified in the Prospectus Supplement¹⁰ of each Common Stock offering and include voting rights, dividend rights in the Debtor Corporation and upon its liquidation, dissolution or winding up, the rights to receive ratably its net assets available after the payment of all debts and other liabilities and subject to any liquidation preference of any then outstanding preferred stock. Section 4 of ARTICLE VI-SHARES of the Debtor Corporation's By-Laws specifies the procedure for transfer of shares between shareholders of record where "Upon surrender to the Corporation or the transfer agent of the Corporation of a certificate for shares duly endorsed or accompanied by proper evidence of succession,

⁶Debtors' Counsel MR. FIEDLER: "The second point is, I think they make the argument that the plan doesn't satisfy 1129(b)(2) because it's not fair and equitable. The equity interests are being cancelled under this plan, they're not entitled to any recovery in accordance with the absolute priority rule." (Tr., Sep. 12, 2023, at 55:14-18)

⁷ https://www.sec.gov/Archives/edgar/data/886158/000110465919036407/a19-10116_4ex3d1.htm

⁸ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Cede_and_Company

⁹ <https://www.investopedia.com/terms/d/dwac.asp>

¹⁰ An example of Stockholders' rights specified in the Prospectus Supplement of a Common Stock offering is available here: https://www.sec.gov/Archives/edgar/data/886158/000119312523084693/d468823d424b5.htm#tx468823__6

Exhibit III Motion for Certification of Direct Appeal Page 10 of 11
 assignment or authority to transfer, the Corporation shall issue or cause the transfer

agent to issue a new certificate to the person entitled thereto, shall cancel the old certificate and shall record such transfer upon the books of the corporation". Section 5 of ARTICLE VI-SHARES of the Debtor Corporation's By-Laws states that "Each certificate for shares to be canceled shall be marked "CANCELED" across the face thereof by the Secretary, with the date of cancellation, and the transaction shall be immediately recorded in the certificate book opposite the memorandum of issue. The canceled certificate should be inserted thereafter in the certificate book." Section 7 of ARTICLE VI-SHARES of the Debtor Corporation's By-Laws states about any Uncertificated Shares that may be issued by the Corporation that "The Board of Directors may in its discretion authorize the issuance of shares which are not represented by certificates and provide for the registration and transfer thereof on the books and records of the Corporation or any transfer agent or registrar so designated". Section 8 of ARTICLE VI-SHARES of the Debtor Corporation's By-Laws states about Shareholder Records that "The names and addresses of the persons to whom shares are issued, and the number of shares and the dates of issue and any transfer thereof, whether in certificated or uncertificated form, shall be entered on records kept for that purpose. The stock transfer records and the blank stock certificates shall be kept by the transfer agent, or by the treasurer, or such other officer as shall be designated by the Board of Directors for that purpose".

22. The Report of Senate Finance Committee on the Bankruptcy Code shows that §541(a)(1)'s scope is broad as the estate is comprised of all legal or equitable interest of the debtor in property and includes all forms of property specified in section 110(a) of old title 11 (1964 ed.).¹¹ The property specified in section 110(a)(5)¹², which when elaborated upon by section 107(f)¹³ of old title 11 (1964 ed.)

¹¹ "Under paragraph (1) of subsection (a), the estate is comprised of all legal or equitable interest of the debtor in property, wherever located, as of the commencement of the case. The scope of this paragraph [§ 541(a)(1)] is broad. It includes all kinds of property, including tangible or intangible property, causes of action (see Bankruptcy Act §70a(6) [section 110(a)(6) of former title 11]), and all other forms of property currently specified in section 70a of the Bankruptcy Act §70a [section 110(a) of former title 11]..." See S. Rep. No. 95-989, p. 82 (1978) (Report of Senate Finance Committee)

¹² 11 U. S. C. § 110 (a) (5) (1964 ed.). property, including rights of action, which prior to the filing of the petition he could by any means have transferred or which might have been levied upon and sold under judicial process against him, or otherwise seized, impounded, or sequestered

¹³ 11 U. S. C. § 107(f) (1964 ed.). (1) For the purposes of, and exclusively applicable to, this subdivision: (a) "debtor" shall mean a face-amount certificate company as defined in section 80a-4 of Title 15; (b) "face-amount certificate" shall mean a face-amount certificate as defined in section 80a-2 of Title 15; (c) "depository" is a person or State agency with whom securities or other property of a debtor is deposited or to whom property of a debtor is transferred, in trust or otherwise, pursuant to the requirements of a State law or an agreement by the debtor providing for the distribution of such property or its proceeds to creditors or security holders of the debtor in the event of the insolvency of the debtor or under other specified circumstances; (d) "deposit creditor" is a creditor who, under the provisions of a State law or agreement providing for a deposit with or transfer to a depository, has rights as to the securities or property so deposited or transferred which exceed the rights of a general creditor; and (e) "State agency" is an official or agency of a State designated to act as depository or to distribute property or the proceeds of property held by a depository.

Exhibit III Motion for Certification of Direct Appeal Page 11 of 11
 exactly matches the description of authorized and outstanding stock of Debtor

Corporation specified in the previous paragraph from the Debtor Corporation's By-Laws and section 107(f) of old title 11 (1964 ed.) also describes how that property is subject to turnover, which is now covered by section 542 of current title 11. The Debtor Corporation meets the requirements for classifying as a face-amount certificate company pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 80a-4 since it has issued 2014 Unsecured Notes that are outstanding and so, section 107(f) of old title 11 (1964 ed.) is applicable to it. Also, since, as described in the previous paragraph, the authorized and outstanding stock confers stockholders with rights to Debtor Corporation's operations and assets, which in other words is a legal and equitable interest in Debtor Corporation's assets, it is part of the Estate pursuant to §541(a)(1). Also, §541(b)(8) specifies, using a double negative clause, that securities that were sold by the Debtor Corporation are property of the estate, which would include authorized and outstanding stock of the Debtor Corporation.¹⁴

2) Whether authorized and outstanding stock of a debtor corporation considered as property of the estate is of consequential value or benefit to the estate, so that it is subject to turnover pursuant to section 542 of the Bankruptcy Code:

23. In order for the Debtors to be able to amalgamate, sell or liquidate the Debtor Corporation's assets free and clear of all liens, claims, charges, or other encumbrances the authorized and outstanding stock is subject to turnover pursuant to section 542 of the Bankruptcy Code, so that there is no outstanding lien on the property. That is why it is of consequential benefit to the estate and hence turnover

(2) Every deposit or transfer of securities or other property made by or on behalf of a debtor with or to any depository for the benefit or protection of or to secure the holder of any security sold by or on behalf of the debtor on or after January 1, 1941, shall be voidable as against the trustee of such debtor if the property of the estate is insufficient for the full payment and discharge of all claims on account of all face-amount certificates sold by or on behalf of the debtor, and such deposit or transfer and every lien created thereby shall thereupon be avoided by the trustee subject to the provisions of paragraph (3) of this subdivision.

(3) In the event any deposit or transfer described in paragraph (2) of this subdivision shall be avoided the trustee shall segregate the property received by the trustee from the depository and charge the same with the costs and expenses of maintenance and liquidation and distribute the net proceeds thereof to the creditors who would have been entitled thereto under the provisions of the law or agreement providing for the deposit or transfer of the property and each such creditor shall thereafter be entitled to dividends from the estate only after all creditors of the same rank shall have received the same percentage.

¹⁴ §541(b)(8) states:

“(b)Property of the estate does not include—

(8)subject to subchapter III of chapter 5, any interest of the debtor in property where the debtor pledged or sold tangible personal property (other than securities or written or printed evidences of indebtedness or title) as collateral for a loan or advance of money given by a person licensed under law to make such loans or advances, where—

(A)the tangible personal property is in the possession of the pledgee or transferee;

(B)the debtor has no obligation to repay the money, redeem the collateral, or buy back the property at a stipulated price; and

(C)neither the debtor nor the trustee have exercised any right to redeem provided under the contract or State law, in a timely manner as provided under State law and section 108(b);”